

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRMPS_117339
Title of the Manuscript:	Haemoprotective effects of ginger (Zingiber officinale) on wistar rats fed with iron treated water
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRMPS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 yes yes no ,still need improvement yes, but still more changes required yes yes	<p>I am immensely grateful for the time you dedicated to reviewing my article. Your feedback and insight have played an invaluable role in refining my work. I deeply appreciate the suggestions you offered for improvement.</p> <p>I am particularly pleased that you found the overall article suitable and scientific. Your positive feedback in this regard reaffirms that my intentions were conveyed.</p> <p>Concerning your suggestions on writing the type of ginger extract in the title, abstract has to be revised and changes made, appropriating the subsections and structure of the manuscript, updating the references, proof reading, grammar error.</p> <p>I wholeheartedly agree with your point regarding the need for more current sources. I acknowledge that relying on works cited over 10 years ago may not be as beneficial to the subject matter. I fully understand that incorporating recent and relevant references will enhance the credibility of my claims and provide a stronger foundation for readers' understanding. I have certainly consider your suggestion and explore ways to integrate appropriate references into the article.</p> <p>Once again, I express my deep gratitude for your time, effort, and constructive feedback. Your review has undeniably elevated the quality of my article, and I have incorporated your suggestions to enhance its overall impact. Should you have any further recommendations or insights, I would be delighted to receive them.</p> <p>Thank you once more for your invaluable contribution to my work.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 No still more improvement	
Optional/General comments	 Abstract have to revise, changes have to be made for abstract	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	