

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_115492
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DEHAULMING AND STORAGE CONDITIONS ON POSTHARVEST PARAMETERS OF POTATO (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes, This manuscript has important for scientific community. 2. Yes, title was suitable. 3. Yes, abstract was comprehensive. 4. Yes, subsection and structure of the manuscript was appropriate. Minor correction was required. Introduction section rewrite and shortened 5. Yes, manuscript was scientifically correct if experiment was done properly. 6. Yes. Reference link absent. Give update and recent reference. Give reference link with DOI number	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language was suitable	
Optional/General comments	minor revision required	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradip Hajong
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Bangladesh