

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJMPCP_116881   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Perceptions and Opinions of Medical Professionals on Artificial Intelligence in Optimizing Healthcare Sector |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |       |        |       |        |  |
|---|--|---|-------|--------|-------|--------|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br><br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br><br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br><br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><br><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | <br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes, ( it may be revised as Perceptions and Views of Medical Professionals on Artificial Intelligence in Optimizing Healthcare Sector.<br><br>Yes, but suggested to remove all the subheadings(Background, Methods, Result, Conclusion) in abstract.<br><br>Yes.<br><br>Yes.<br><br>Its sufficient. |   |       |        |       |        |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | <br><br>Yes  |   |       |        |       |        |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | <br><br>1. In table III , suggested to provide the expansion of these terms.<br><table><tr><td>SA (%)</td><td>A (%)</td><td>UN (%)</td><td>D (%)</td><td>SD (%)</td></tr></table><br><br>2. Suggested to add the Formulas used in the tables, for better understanding.  | SA (%)  | A (%) | UN (%) | D (%) | SD (%) |  |
| SA (%)  | A (%)  | UN (%)  | D (%) | SD (%) |       |        |  |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |            |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| Name:                            | Parvathi C |
| Department, University & Country | VTU, India |