

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_116881
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceptions and Opinions of Medical Professionals on Artificial Intelligence in Optimizing Healthcare Sector
Type of the Article	Survey

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 Yes this survey is needed now a days. Yes Not, its not clear motive on Abstract and not mentioned problem statement in Abstract No Partially correct Not sufficient	 Thanks for the kind review Necessary revision has been made where applicable
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Its also required to correct	
Optional/General comments	 1. Author proposed article on based on just survey and impact on AI in Nigeria specially. But proper investigation not done, even motive of this article totally missing. 2. I suggest to author kindly add some literature review part on this work. 3. Picture quality should be clear. 4. References also not added proper manner.	 All references were auto generated using Mendeley cite.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	