

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_116765
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Potassium Humate on Yield and Quality of Green Chilli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript will be very important for scientific researchers in the agricultural sector. There are quite a lot of research variables so the research data is very comprehensive for analysis.</p> <p>The title is appropriate but it would be better if it was more specific regarding the organic source used.</p> <p>The abstract does not appear comprehensive because the systematic preparation is not well structured</p> <p>Subsections are good but the structure needs to be repaired</p> <p>This article is scientifically correct</p> <p>The number of references can be added for better analysis</p> <p>There is quite a lot of data but there is not much analysis and discussion</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs to be simplified so that it is easier for readers to digest	
Optional/General comments	This article is good in terms of content, but the writing structure and discussion need to be improved	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theresia Evila Purwanti Sri Rahayu
Department, University & Country	Cilacap State of Polytechnic, Indonesia