# **Review Form 1.7**

| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Research in Zoology                              |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRIZ_117188   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Review of Ichthyofauna Biodiversity in the Inland waters of Sudan |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the |
|--|---|--|
|  |   | manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                     |
| Compulsory REVISION comments   |   | ,  |
| Is the manuscript important for scientific community?  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)                           |   |  |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Reviewer comments   |  |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?   | *Please put selected main locations to the key points.(Eg: Nubia, Atbara, Dinder)   |  |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | *According to my point of view,it is good if can display highly spreaded species abundance in a map.(As highly spreaded species are small qty, easy to do it).it may usfull to find out highly spreaded species in Sudan with the selected locations. |  |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  |   |  |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. |   |  |
| (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)                             | *It is clear if replace pie chart by bar chart.   |  |
|  | Then, data clarification is very smooth.  |  |
|  | When look at the pie chart, it seems say wrong definition with the percentages.   |  |
|  | The author idea was, mentioning the found species out of total earlier recorded species taking it as 100 in different sites. But, pie chart wouldn't be suitable for it.  |  |
| Minor REVISION comments  |   |  |
| Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| Optional/General comments  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

# **Review Form 1.7**

# PART 2:

|  |   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |  |

### **Reviewer Details:**

| Name:                            | Shanika Sewwandi                                |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Department, University & Country | Uva Wellassa University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)