

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_117108
Title of the Manuscript:	EEG Innovations in Neurological Disorder Diagnostics: A Quinquennial Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  Yes, it consolidates existing information in a readable and extractable manner that can add to existing literature  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Add subsection – limitations of the current study	  Thank you for your feedback.  Thank you for your input.  Thank you for your feedback. Thank you for your input.  Thank you for your feedback. Thank you for your input.  Thank you for your advice. We have incorporated a subsection in the discussion section of the manuscript.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  Yes	  Thank you for your input.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Article is written well. The content may be broken down into smaller paragraphs to improve readability. Authors may consider adding a subsection on limitations on the study.	Thank you for your advice. We have broken down the long paragraph into small paragraphs.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Thank you for your input.