

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_117559
Title of the Manuscript:	Accuracy of Broselow Tape in Weight Assessment Among Pediatric Population Presenting to Emergency Department of a Low-Income Setting.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, very easy and quick method to assess weight in the emergency department.</p> <p>To determine the Accuracy and reliability of Brose low Tape in comparison with actual weight and advanced paediatric life support formula among the paediatric population presenting to the emergency department of low-income setting.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1.AIM: avoid using we aimed .(title should be your aim) Changes made in the original article</p> <p>2. Objectives(missing) would have mentioned Changes made in the original article</p> <p>3.Study design :Delete the prospective study Changes made in the original article</p> <p>3.Results-Table2:would have been elaborated completely. Changes made in the original article</p>	<p>Okay</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Revised</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>yes</p>	<p>okay</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The study is very informative, and useful for the feature . Statistical methods are appropriate.</p>	<p>Improved</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	