

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_116560
Title of the Manuscript:	Measuring the Effect of Regime Change on Petroleum Price In Nigeria Using Moving Index.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>The purpose should mention in the first paragraph in the abstract and it is unclear and needs rewriting to make it more paper-specific.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>The derivation of the research gap needs more clarification and seems to base on slightly outdated literature. The discussions here need to be more convincing and stringently focused on the research problem, the contributions, rewriting the introduction as per academic writing, The introduction needs more citations and updated sources. However, I would like to see strong theoretical justification and support from literature about how your study is important at this time.</p> <p>LITERATURE</p> <p>This section also needs more writing of the literature and use to resent literature from good journals. And the gap in Literature unclear. You would need to review the literature more in-depth to find literature relevant for your work and to then outline what the gap that you will address is.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY</p> <p>The methodology section should be clearer of the applied research approach. Please respecify how the studies utilized in the analysis were identified. In the general the methodology section is kind of weak something needs many improvements.</p> <p>FINDINGS</p> <p>The findings need to justify your results and link the results with theories and recent studies from good journals.</p> <p>CONCLUSION</p> <p>The paper also needs a more suggestions for further research.</p> <p>THE REFERENCES</p> <p>The references needs to be compatible APA style, and needs more recent references.</p>	<p>The abstract is a single paragraph summary of the work written in reported speech. However, the background has been reduced and the purpose of the study is clearly stated.</p> <p>The introduction have been updated with current literature.</p> <p>The literature have been updated with empirical reviews of related articles.</p> <p>The methodology section is now clearer and the methods of analysis well stated.</p> <p>The needful has been done.</p> <p>Further research has been suggested.</p> <p>The references is now APA style all through.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	