

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_116930
Title of the Manuscript:	ADDRESSING COMMUNICATION STRATEGIES, DECISION-MAKING FRAMEWORKS, AND PALLIATIVE CARE INTERVENTIONS FOR PATIENTS AND FAMILIES FACING END-OF-LIFE DECISIONS IN THE ICU
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. Very important topic in light of changing of the world attitude to ICU care and Palliative Care as two conflicting provisions. The concept illustrates how the integration can be achieved 2. The title of article is well chosen; however the structure and content of the article does not fully reveal what is implied by the title 3. Has to be rewritten in accordance with the structure change  4. Parts 2.1-2.4 and Part 3 are very well written; however the whole structure should be amended reflecting all three domains mentioned in the title: Decision Making Process /Palliative Care Interventions /Communication to Patients/Families, based on 3 different ICU-PC models. 5. More evidence-based facts on outcomes of properly taken decisions and its effectiveness in PC interventions should be given. Comments are in the text. Also, change of structure remains basic comment. 6. The current and additional references are mentioned in the text. Two basic additional references: <u>'International Association for Hospice and Palliative Care Position Statement: Euthanasia and Physician-Assisted Suicide,' Journal of Palliative Medicine, 2017;20(1):1-7.</u>  National Consensus Project for Quality Palliative Care. Clinical practice guidelines for quality palliative care, 4th edition. Richmond, VA: National Coalition for Hospice and Palliative Care, 2018. Available online: <a href="https://www.nationalcoalitionhpc.org/ncp">https://www.nationalcoalitionhpc.org/ncp</a>	Acknowledged
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	