

Review Form 1.7

Rese

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_117535
Title of the Manuscript:	Unraveling the Hurdles of Health Services Research HSR: Staff Perception in Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it assesses the obstacles to researching health services.</p> <p>The title needs to be rephrased a little. I suggest, “Unravelling the Hurdles of Health Services Research (HSR): Staff Perception in a Teaching Hospital</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive but it should be reworded to include a brief description of the study design.</p> <p>Yes, the structure of the thesis is appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient. I do suggest, a much more comprehensive discussion which will require a bit more referencing.</p>	<p>1. Thank you for considering our article as an important article.</p> <p>2. Titile rephrased as suggested.</p> <p>3. Abstract reworded following reviewer’s comment.</p> <p>4. Thank you.</p> <p>5. Thank you.</p> <p>6. We have added 5 more references.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The Grammar used is commendable.</p>	<p>Thank you.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>1) The p-values should be adjusted in the table in the results section.</p> <p>2) The conclusion section should be rewritten to include the findings of the study.</p>	<p>Updated as instructed.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	<p>CONSENT: It is not applicable.</p> <p>ETHICAL APPROVAL: It is not applicable.</p> <p>COMPETING INTERESTS: Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.</p>