

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_116951
Title of the Manuscript:	Bias and Fairness Issues in Artificial Intelligence-driven Cybersecurity
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Yes, this manuscript addressing the important issues which is most important for the scientific community. 2. Title is suitable, my suggested title is "Addressing Partiality and Equity Concerns in AI-powered Cybersecurity" 3. Abstract is enough for this type of paper. 4. Methodology section is totally ignored by author which is not acceptable. Conclusion is not comprehensive. 5. Manuscript is correct and well reported. 6. References are enough. But will good if add some more references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality which is used in this article is very excellent and understandable.	
Optional/General comments	Improve conclusion section. Should include search method or methodology section. Include Keywords: Algorithmic Bias, Accountability in Cybersecurity AI, Fairness Metrics	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adnan Ullah
Department, University & Country	Riphah International University, Pakistan