

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_116095</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Indiscriminate Use of Glyphosate Could Increase the Prevalence of Alzheimer's Disease? A Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it would be helpful to discuss the implications of the findings for public health and future research directions. This could involve recommendations for healthcare professionals, policymakers, and researchers, as well as suggestions for further investigation into the mechanisms underlying the association between glyphosate exposure and AD.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes The language used is appropriate for a scientific review paper. Additionally, ensure that all technical terms are defined or explained for readers who may not be familiar with the field.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. The systematic review conducted in this study aimed to investigate the relationship between pesticides, particularly glyphosate, and dementia, with a focus on Alzheimer's disease (AD). 2. The decision to include only articles with a journal impact factor equal to or greater than 4 might limit the breadth of the review. While it ensures the inclusion of high-quality research, it might exclude relevant studies published in journals with lower impact factors. 3. The authors are recommended to cite relevant literatures such as Frontiers in nutrition 9 (2022): 827384., Journal of Neuroendocrinology, 31(9), e12731, and Science of the total environment, 616, pp.255-268.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Savita Vasantao Thakare</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maratha Vidya Prasark Samaj's, Karmaveer Shantarambapu Kondaji Wavare Arts, Science &amp; Commerce College, India</b>