

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_116095
Title of the Manuscript:	The Indiscriminate Use of Glyphosate Could Increase the Prevalence of Alzheimer's Disease? A Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes it provides new insights into the intricacies of AD 2. Yes, it is suitable 3. Lacks comprehensiveness. Explain less about the methods and study selection. Provide a more detailed information about the study itself. What are the findings? What does it add to the current knowledge? 4. No, try to add subheadings to the discussion section to provide ease to readers 5. Yes 6. I suggest citing current therapies or interventions for Alzheimer's in the introduction section. https://ejnpn.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41983-022-00487-5 https://ejnpn.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41983-023-00621-x	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, although there still several grammar inconsistencies. Authors should fix it	
Optional/General comments	Table 1, in the articles column, cite studies by “Lee et al., 2016”, instead of copy pasting the whole citation bibliography Remove “Source: Authors (2024).”. in all of the text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bryan Gervais de Liyis
Department, University & Country	Udayana University, Indonesia