

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_108801
Title of the Manuscript:	ADEQUATE MAINTENANCE, A PRECURSOR TO BUILDING LONGEVITY: A CASE STUDY OF OWO IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>For the actual version of the article, the abstract needs to be enhanced.</p> <p>The article structure needs to be improved to achieve a better scientific display. Even more, the method section needs to go deeper than just mentioning that "The major sources of secondary data were textbooks, published academic journals, conference, seminar proceedings and papers" Which are these sources? Are they on the reference list? What kind of information was taken from them? Are these documents relevant to the article? Also, it is necessary to show at least the sections or questions of the instrument data collection (the questionnaires) to let the readers the know-how can be the research applied in other regions and go deep into the analysis of references.</p> <p>Most of the references are from before 2019, it is recommended to look for references for the last five years. Also, look for references that had applied other methodologies to determine the building state and the analysis of pathologies.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Effected the revision</p> <p>Revised</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes, the English quality needs to be improved in the complete document.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>None</p>	<p>ok</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	