

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_117101
Title of the Manuscript:	Recurrence peripheral ossifying fibroma: A case report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5.</p> <p>6. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>7. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes the manuscript is important for the scientific community,as it has shed light on the recurrence appearance of Peripheral ossifying fibroma and had given a deep clue about how to prevent its recurrence.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>No the abstract of the article is not comprehensive as it needs to be reframed with a more clear and proper words.</p> <p>Yes to some extent they are appropriate but if possible do add the treatment part in detail.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1. Reframe the entire manuscript with more better and clear english words and the sentences.</p> <p>2. As you have mentioned in your discussion section,that you have put your patient on follow up ,but you have not mentioned,that what treatment you have done in this recurrence case. So do add the treatment or management part in detail in your case report.</p>	<p>Thank you so much for your comment I have been revising my manuscript, followed by reviewer comments, especially the English word and the sentence. In addition, I have mentioned how we treat patients in the case report and discussion.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No the English quality of article is not suitable for scholarly communications. Hence a more clear English words and sentences needs to be used so kindly reframe the entire manuscript including abstract with more better and clear English words and sentences.</p>	<p>I have been revising my manuscript, followed by reviewer comments</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	<p>This case reported belongs to a dental university, with this case reported, no required ethical approval</p>