

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Dental Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJDS_117101 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Recurrence peripheral ossifying fibroma: A case report |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>English grammar to be refined.past,present and future tenses not presented in proper manner in abstract</p> <p>Subsections appropriate.</p> <p>Scientifically correct but with lot of grammatical mistakes words like disgusting should not be used in manuscript instead of distinguishing it's mentioned as disgusting.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>When previously patient had excision of lesion did they do histopathology of the lesion.if so why is it diagnosed as pyogenic granuloma instead of recurrent POF ifbthere is any proper explanation please do mention</p> | <p>Thank you so much for your comment I have been revising my manuscript, followed by reviewer comments, especially the English word and the sentence.</p> <p>In this case, the patient gave a history of treatment for the lesion in the same area at another hospital, but the doctor didn't do histopathology, and the clinical features of the present lesion appear to be like pyogenic granuloma with a particularly easy bleed, which is why we clinically diagnosed it as pyogenic granuloma.</p> |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>English language is not upto mark</p> | <p>I have been revising my manuscript, followed by reviewer comments, especially the English word and the sentence</p> |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Good to go if English grammatical mistakes are corrected</p> | <p>I have been revising my manuscript, followed by reviewer comments, especially the English word and the sentence</p> |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | <p>This case reported belongs to a dental university, with this case reported, no required ethical approval</p> |