

Original Research Article

Examining the Effects of Diverse Irrigation Regimens and Planting Timelines on Wheat Growth, Yield, and Yield Characteristics

ABSTRACT

In the Rabi season spanning 2021 to 2022, a field trial was carried out on sandy loam soil. at a research station near the Center for Advanced Studies on Climate Change, Dr. RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar (India). Positioned at a latitude of 25°98' N and a longitude of 85°66' E. the experimental plot ascended to an elevation of 52.3 meters above mean sea level. The primary objective was to assess the effects of different irrigation levels and planting dates on the development, yield, and yield characteristics of wheat. The investigation included four irrigation management protocols (irrigation at 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, and 1.2 IW/CPE) assigned to the main plot, along with four sowing dates (15 Nov, 30 Nov, 15 Dec, and 30 Dec) allocated to the sub plot. This layout was replicated thrice in a split-plot configuration. The results indicated that the maximum values of growth factors (specifically plant height), yield factor (effective tillers/m²), and yield (both grain and straw) were observed when irrigation was administered at 1.2 IW/CPE (I₄). This method, statistically comparable to irrigation at 1 IW/CPE (I₃), exhibited notable superiority over the other treatments. Conversely, the minimum values of plant height, effective tillers/m², grain yield, and straw yield were documented under the irrigation regimen

Keywords: [Wheat, Date of sowing, IW/CPE, Plant height, Effective tillers/m²]

1. INTRODUCTION

Triticum aestivum L., or wheat, is one of the world's most significant food crops. Because wheat accounts for about 17% of the world's agricultural land area and yields 35% of food grains, this crop is essential to the world's food security both today and in the future [1]. According to the USDA, 2018, It holds a position of utmost significance among cereal crops., covering an area of 225.6 million hectares and producing 758.3 million tonnes overall. India produces 93.50 million tonnes of goods annually on a total land area of 30.17 million hectares [2]. National cereal production in 2023, including wheat crops to be harvested in March 2024, is estimated at 4.1 million tonnes, 46 percent below the output obtained in the previous year and about 40 percent below the average of the past five years (FAO 2023).[3].

India has ample land and ideal weather for cultivation. Thus, wheat output ranks second globally. Many factors contribute to this country's poor wheat production. Environmental variables like late planting reduce wheat output. Another issue is the unavailability of improved varieties with quick maturity and appropriate for late sowing due to the crop's shorter growth cycle. Late-sown cultivars vary in yield and nutrient absorption.

The important methods for planning wheat irrigation schedules are soil moisture depletion, environmental conditions (IW/CPE), and physiological growth stages. The climatological technique is widely acknowledged by scientists and researchers worldwide as being scientific and practical. The fixed irrigation

Commented [M1]: Italics

Commented [M2]: expand

water IW to CPE ratio determines the crop irrigation schedule. Selecting the time and volume of water for irrigation is called irrigation scheduling. Sensible scheduling is possible by knowing the plant's initial soil water content. This makes it possible to figure out when to use the system for optimal irrigation. Timing of irrigation more effectively reduces costs and improves crop quality. Scientists and researchers usually acknowledge the scientific and advantageous climatological irrigation scheduling technique. So, the IW/CPE method's excellent water efficiency and ease of use make it worthwhile to investigate. ,,,, ,

Commented [M3]: Aim and objectives of the article was missing

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site and Treatment Details

During the 2021–2022 period, an experimental plot was established at coordinates (25°98' N latitude and 85°66' E longitude), situated at an elevation of 52.3 meters above mean sea level. This plot was located within a research farm adjacent to the Center for Advanced Studies on Climate Change, Dr. RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar (India). The soil in this area exhibited calcareous characteristics, boasting a pH of 8.52, an electrical conductivity (EC) of 0.33 ds/m, and an organic carbon content of 0.46%. Moreover, the soil exhibited favorable nutrient availability, Nitrogen was accessible at a rate of 202.31 kg/ha, phosphorus at 15.27 kg/ha, and potassium at 92.49 kg/ha, with a determined texture of sandy loam. Utilizing a split-plot design, the investigation was replicated thrice, incorporating sixteen distinct treatments. per replication. The main plot consisted of four irrigation levels, indicated as IW/CPE=0.6, 0.8, 1, and 1.2, while the subplots replicated four different dates of sowing. The wheat variety under investigation was HD 2967, with a plant spacing of 10 cm between individuals and a row spacing of 20 cm. To minimize nitrogen fertilizer loss, the recommended dose of 120:60:40 was applied as a basal fertilizer during sowing, with full doses of phosphorus and potassium incorporated alongside one-third of the nitrogen dose. The residual nitrogen was divided and applied during two key stages: Crown Root Initiation (CRI) at 21 Days After Sowing (DAS) and the flag leaf stage, which typically arises at 58 DAS. During the vegetative phases, the height of the plants was recorded in centimeters (cm). by measuring from the base to the apex of each fully-grown leaf or from the awn tip to the tip at harvest. The count of effective tillers per square meter was determined by counting tillers per meter of row length at different intervals such as 30, 60, 90, and 120 days post-sowing, with each plant typically exhibiting three to five tillers. Yield attributes, including grain and straw yields, were derived from the collected data, which underwent statistical analysis utilizing a conventional split-plot design. Further details regarding treatments are outlined in Table 1.

Commented [M4]: Mention the season



Fig. 1 Experimental location

Commented [M5]: Mention the location

Table 1. Details of the treatment

Symbol	Treatment details
Main plot (irrigation level)	
I ₁	IW/CPE (0.6)
I ₂	IW/CPE (0.8)
I ₃	IW/CPE (1.0)
I ₄	IW/CPE (1.2)
Subplot (Date of sowing)	
D ₁	15 th Nov
D ₂	30 th Nov
D ₃	15 th Dec
D ₄	30 th Dec

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant Height (cm)

During the initial 30 days after sowing (DAS), variations in irrigation schedules did not yield discernible effects on plant height, as evidenced by periodic measurements. However, significant increases in plant

height were observed at 60, 90, and 120 DAS. Notably, treatment I₄ produced taller plants. While treatment I₄ demonstrated comparable performance to I₃, it notably surpassed I₂ and I₁. Conversely, treatment I₁, characterized by irrigation at 0.6 IW/CPE, resulted in the shortest plants. The pronounced increase in plant height observed under treatments I₄ and I₃ can be attributed to the provision of optimal moisture levels throughout both vegetative and reproductive phases, facilitating vigorous and vertical plant growth. Stem elongation, fueled by enhanced cell division and expansion induced by irrigation treatments I₄, notably contributed to overall plant height augmentation. In contrast, reduced cell enlargement due to moisture stress in plots subjected to treatment I₁ likely constrained plant growth, resulting in diminished plant height. These observations align with the findings of Bikrmeditya et al.[4], Singh et al. [5], and Vishuddha et al. [6], reinforcing the significance of irrigation management in influencing wheat plant height dynamics.

Furthermore, the data highlighted the significant impact of sowing dates on plant height during the recorded intervals of 30, 60, 90, and 120 days post-seeding. Notably, wheat sown on Nov 15 displayed substantially greater plant height in contrast to later sowings on Nov 30, Dec 15, and Dec 30. This difference can likely be attributed to the favorable environmental conditions experienced during the crop period associated with the Nov 15 sowing date Meena et al. [7], Mumtaz et al. [8]. Compared to the later dates, the extended period available for vegetative development after the Nov. 15 seeding most likely contributed to this result. Significant reductions in growth attributes were observed with delayed sowings, potentially arising from earlier crop maturation and delayed germination. Premature crop maturation may have been triggered by a sudden temperature surge during the reproductive phase, leading to delayed emergence associated with later sowing dates, possibly influenced by a decline in minimum temperatures at sowing. Consequently, adverse weather conditions have altered plant growth characteristics, resulting in the natural variations observed across sowing dates.

Table 2. Effect of Irrigation level and sowing date on plant height (cm) at different growth stages of wheat

Treatment Details	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	120DAS
Main plot: Irrigation level				
I1: IW/CPE (0.6)	18.86	36.96	69.26	79.86
I2: IW/CPE (0.8)	19.62	40.38	74.62	86.62
I3: IW/CPE (1.0)	20.16	43.13	79.58	92.66
I4: IW/CPE (1.2)	20.34	43.66	81.06	93.68
SEm(±)	0.36	0.74	1.40	1.66
CD (p=0.05)	NS	2.58	4.85	5.75
Subplot: Date of sowing				
D1: 15 Nov	20.43	42.94	79.06	93.13
D2: 30 Nov	19.73	41.29	76.60	90.03
D3: 15 Dec	19.08	38.88	72.71	81.46
D4: 30 Dec	18.62	36.85	66.56	76.99
SEm(±)	0.21	0.49	0.72	0.86
CD (p=0.05)	0.59	1.40	2.06	2.44
Interaction (Main × Sub)	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 3. Effect of Irrigation level and sowing date on effective tiller/m² at different growth stages of wheat

Treatment Details	60DAS	90DAS	120DAS
Main plot: Irrigation level			
I1: IW/CPE (0.6)	305.52	312.52	292.52

I2: IW/CPE (0.8)	313.18	320.18	300.18
I3: IW/CPE (1.0)	328.86	335.86	315.86
I4: IW/CPE (1.2)	340.75	347.75	327.75
SEm(\pm)	7.24	7.24	7.24
CD (p=0.05)	25.04	25.04	25.04
Subplot: Date of sowing			
D1: 15 Nov	358.68	365.68	345.68
D2: 30 Nov	334.14	341.14	321.14
D3: 15 Dec	309.51	316.51	296.51
D4: 30 Dec	285.99	292.99	272.99
SEm(\pm)	8.15	8.15	8.15
CD (p=0.05)	24.44	24.44	24.44
Interaction (Main \times Sub)	NS	NS	NS

3.2. Number of effective tillers/m²

The data analysis revealed that the number of effective tillers per square meter at 60, 90, and 120 days after sowing (DAS) was significantly affected by the irrigation level treatments, as indicated in (Table 3). Treatment I₄ displayed a notable increase in the total number of tillers, comparable to treatment I₃, and significantly outperformed the other treatments. This improvement may be attributed to ample water availability and a more favorable rhizosphere environment, facilitating enhanced nutrient uptake and, consequently, accelerated growth and greater tiller production. These results are in agreement with earlier studies carried out by Singh et al. [9] and Kumar et al. [10] regarding wheat farming.

Similarly timing of sowing also significantly influenced the number of effective tillers per square meter, with late sowing notably diminishing these characteristics. Notably, the crop sown on Nov 15th exhibited the highest number of effective tillers per square meter, contrasting with the lowest observed by Dec 30th. This difference could be attributed to the delayed sowing, resulting in lower initial temperatures. However, the rapid increase in temperature from February onwards likely deprived the plants of sufficient favorable conditions to realize their full potential. Similar observations on this matter have been documented by Kumar and Sharma [11], Shahzad et al. [12], Kumar et al. [13], and Tomar et al. [14].

Table 4. Effect of Irrigation level and date of sowing on grain yield and straw yield of wheat

Treatment Details	Grain yield (t/ha)	Straw yield (t/ha)
Main plot: Irrigation level		
I1: IW/CPE (0.6)	3.16	5.10
I2: IW/CPE (0.8)	3.97	5.98
I3: IW/CPE (1.0)	4.39	6.46
I4: IW/CPE (1.2)	4.66	6.73
SEm(\pm)	0.10	0.16

CD (p=0.05)	0.34	0.54
Subplot: Date of sowing		
D1: 15 Nov	4.70	6.73
D2: 30 Nov	4.46	6.51
D3: 15 Dec	3.72	5.70
D4: 30 Dec	3.29	5.34
SEm(±)	0.06	0.07
CD (p=0.05)	0.19	0.21
Interaction (Main × Sub)	S	S

3.3. Grain yield (t/ha)

This study revealed that plant nutrients, particularly N:P:K, were more readily available and potentially mobilized to enhance dry matter production under the I₄ irrigation regimen when soil moisture levels were optimal. Furthermore, increased yields under maximum irrigation levels may be attributed to water's crucial role in Enhance root growth., including reduced Soil resilience, increased transpiration, enhanced Absorption of nutrients, and heightened photosynthesis due to increased plant Biochemical processes Bhunia et al. [15]. Another factor that might have led to the enhanced yield could be the prolonged reproductive periods and expanded photosynthetic areas stemming from irrigation scheduling at 1.2 and 1.0 IW/CPE during both growth and reproductive stages. This enabled a larger distribution of net photosynthates to grains. These results align with earlier investigations by Sharma and Pannu [16], Sarwar et al. [17], Kumar et al [18], and Mishra and Kushwaha [19]. Additionally, yields from irrigation under I₄ were comparable to those from the I₃ system, although there were yield variations among treatments. This could be attributed to consistent water application in both I₄ and I₃, meeting atmospheric evaporative requirements during vegetative and reproductive phases regardless of the crop stage. Same findings have been Stated by Bandyopadhyay and Malick [20], Parihar and Tiwari [21], Sharma and Pannu [16], Bikrmatiya et al. [4] and Narolia et al.[22].

Similarly, grain yield exhibited a notable increase when sown on Nov 15 compared to Nov 30, as evidenced by statistical analysis (Table 4). The delay in seeding, starting from Nov 15th, significantly reduced grain yield. This decline is likely due to the delayed crop's inadequate expression of yield-contributing traits. Additionally, adverse environmental conditions characterized by high temperatures and strong winds accelerated crop maturation, thereby reducing grain yield. Conversely, early-sown crops experienced increased productivity due to an extended growth period and cooler temperatures, which facilitated superior yield characteristics Kulhari et al [23]. Notably, findings consistent with these observations have been reported by Kumar and Sharma [11], further supported by similar results documented by Mumtaz et al. [8]

3.4 Straw yield (t/ha)

In terms of irrigation level, I₄ shows significant parity with I₃, which notably outperforms the remaining treatments. The crop displayed vigorous growth under conditions of optimal water availability, particularly during the vegetative phase, thereby enhancing growth characteristics. This phenomenon also offers a clear explanation for the increased production of straw under optimal irrigation regimes. These findings are

consistent with previous research conducted by Sarwar et al.[17],Bandyopadhyay and Malick [20], Bikrmeditya et al.[4] and Narolia et al. [22].

Similarly, wheat straw production exhibited a notable increase when sown on Nov 15. However, the delayed commencement of sowing from Nov 15th led to a significant decrease in straw yield. This decline could be attributed to the reduced expression of yield-contributing traits in crops sown late, including spike count, ear length, grains per spike, and test weight. Moreover, adverse environmental factors such as high temperatures and strong winds hastened crop maturation, thereby reducing straw yield. Conversely, early-sown crops experienced heightened production due to their extended growing season and cooler temperatures, resulting in enhanced yield characteristics Kulhari et al. [23] . Similar findings were reported by Kumar and Sharma [11] closely aligning with those of Mumtaz et al. [8].

4. CONCLUSION

The irrigation regimen at (IW/CPE=1.2) notably resulted in the tallest plant height found to be significantly superior over other treatments at 30, 60, 90, and 120 DAS in terms of plant growth, yield, and yield attributes. Treatment I₄ also exhibited a substantial Increase in the quantity of productive tillers per square meter. comparable to I₃ yet significantly outperforming the rest of the treatment. Notably, wheat grain yield showed significant responsiveness to various irrigation schedules. Recording a yield of 4.66 tons per hectare (t/ha), treatment I₄ demonstrated markedly superior grain yield compared to other treatments, comparable to I₃ yet significantly outperforming the rest. Moreover, wheat straw yield exhibited profound sensitivity to irrigation timing, with treatment I₄ yielding the highest straw output at 6.73 t/ha, surpassing treatment I₃ and other treatments by a substantial margin.

Wheat plants subjected to delayed seeding, ranging from Nov 15 to Dec 30, exhibited diminished stem heights. Particularly, wheat sown on Nov 15 yielded significantly taller plants at 30, 60, 90, and 120 DAS compared to those sown on Nov 30, Dec 15, and Dec 30. The abundance of effective wheat tillers varied significantly with different planting dates, with seeding wheat on Nov 15 resulting in substantially more effective tillers compared to seeding on Nov 30, Dec 15, and Dec 30. Moreover, grain yield derived from seeding wheat on Nov 15 surpassed that from seeding on subsequent dates, including Nov 30, Dec 15, and Dec 30. The timing of wheat sowing exerted a considerable influence on straw output as well, with straw yield noticeably higher from wheat sown on Nov 15 compared to Nov 30, Dec 15, and Dec 30.

REFERENCES

- 1.Pal S, Malik N, Singh S, Pandey V, Yadav R, Dheer V, Sachan DS, Gangwar P. Effect of foliar feeding of nutrients, salicylic acid and sea weed extract on yield and yield attributes of wheat varieties under Tarai region. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(20):574–581. Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2023/v35i203841> .
- 2.Ahmad A, Kumar R. Effect of irrigation scheduling on the growth and yield of wheat genotypes. Agric. Sci. Digest. 2015; (3):199-202.
3. FAO. (2023). Special report 2023, FAO crop and food supply assessment mission (CFSAM) to the Republic of the Sudan.
4. Verma, R., et al. (2011). Effect of soil moisture regimes and fertility levels on growth, yield, and water use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Progressive Agriculture, 11(1), 73-78.
5. Singh, D., et al. (2012). Growth and yield of wheat genotypes about environmental constraints under timely sown irrigated condition. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology, 17(2), 113-20.

Commented [M6]: This was the highest irrigation level. Why not next level was tried?

Commented [M7]: Format different

6. Vishuddha, N., Singh, G.R., Kumar, R., Raj, S. and Yadav, B. 2014. Effect of irrigation levels and nutrient sources on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Annals of Agricultural Research* 35: 14-20.
7. Meena, R. K., et al. (2015). Influence of date of sowing and irrigation regimes on crop growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and its relationship with temperature in a semi-arid region. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 60(1), 92-98.
8. Mumtaz, M. Z., et al. (2015). Effect of various sowing dates on growth, yield, and yield components of different wheat genotypes. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science*, 15(11), 2230-2234.
9. Singh, L., Singh, C.M., and Singh, G.R. 2012. Response of bed planted wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under the different moisture regime on water use and it's efficiency. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research* 4: 4941-4945.
10. Kumar, B., et al. (2015). Impact of irrigation schedules and nutrient management on growth, yield, and root traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) varieties. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 60(1), 87-91.
11. . Kumar, R., & Mahajan, G. (2013). Effect of Weather on Dates of Sowing and Varieties on Productivity of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 322-325.
12. Shahzad, M. A., et al. (2007). Effect of sowing dates and seed treatment on grain yield and quality of wheat.
13. Rakesh Kumar, R. K., & Sharma, S. N. (2003). Effect of levels of nitrogen on wheat as influenced by date of sowing.
14. Tomar, S. P. S., et al. (2014). Yield and yield component response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L) genotypes to different sowing dates in the Gird region of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of Farm Sciences*, 4(2), 1-6.
15. Bhunia, S. R., et al. (2006). Effect of phosphorus, irrigation, and Rhizobium on productivity, water use, and nutrient uptake in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 51(3), 239-241.
16. Sharma, K. D., & Pannu, R. K. (2008). Physiological response of wheat (*Triticum durum* L.) to limited irrigation. *Journal of Agrometeorology*, 10(2), 113-117.
17. Sarwar, N., et al. (2010). Effect of different levels of irrigation on yield and yield components of wheat cultivars. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci*, 47(3), 371-374.
18. Ahmad, A., & Kumar, R. (2015). Effect of irrigation scheduling on the growth and yield of wheat genotypes. *Agric. Sci. Digest*, 3, 199-202.
19. Mishra, G., & Kushwaha, H. S. (2016). Winter wheat yield and soil physical properties responses to different tillage and irrigation. *European Journal of Biological Research*, 6(1), 56-63.
20. Bandyopadhyay, P. K., & Mallick, S. (2003). Actual evapotranspiration and crop coefficients of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under varying moisture levels of humid tropical canal command area. *Agricultural water management*, 59(1), 33-47.
21. Parihar, S. S., & Tiwari, R. B. (2003). Effect of irrigation and nitrogen level on yield, nutrient uptake, and water use of late-sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 48(2), 103-107.

22. Narolia, R. S., et al. (2016). Effect of irrigation scheduling and nutrient management on productivity, profitability, and nutrient uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grown under zero-tilled conditions in south-eastern Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 61(1), 53-58.

23. Kulhari, S.C., Sharma, S.L. and Kantwa, S.R. 2003. Effect of varieties, sowing dates and nitrogen levels on yield, nutrient uptake and quality of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.). *Annals of Agricultural Research* 24: 332-336.

UNDER PEER REVIEW