

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_IJPSS_117666
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of tillage practices and fertility levels on growth, yield attributes and yield of wheat in rice- wheat cropping system
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Yes, the authors conducted research relevant in the scientific community 2. Yes, the authors have chosen suitable title 3. This abstract has not been completed since recommendations are not indicated 4. Yes. The manuscript is appropriately structured 5. Yes, the authors present a reasonable study needed in the scientific community 6. References are all in one format as per journal guidelines. 7. Keywords are not included in abstract 8. The manuscript requires grammatical and text correction	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1. Yes, the overall writing is clear and concise	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fadeyi Olasupo James
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria