

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_EJMP_117825
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient, Phytochemical Composition, and Antioxidant Activity analysis of Fresh and Cabinet dried Coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) inflorescence
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes;Method section2.3-7 th line instead of Macerated fluid-Menstrual fluid has been mentioned and in 7 th line same mistake has been repeated in 11 th line .Tocotrienols (Phenols)are present in coconut oil are Senolytic are found useful in old age diseases(for example Cancer/CKD) and found in aqueous as well as dried cabinet powder making it suitable for better storage but no emphasis is given on these points.Author may add this aspect in Introduction and Discussion part.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes,Minor changes as suggested	
Optional/General comments	Adding Senolytic use of phenols of Coconut make it better suitable for publication	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virendra Singh
Department, University & Country	M.L.N. Medical College, India