

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | European Journal of Medicinal Plants |
| Manuscript Number: | Original Manuscript_EJMP_117825 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Nutrient, Phytochemical Composition, and Antioxidant Activity analysis of Fresh and Cabinet dried Coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) inflorescence |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Yes Can be improved by putting use of its constituents in title Abstract must be well structured. Put material and method in abstract. It can be improved with expanding material method and discussion sections There is a need to put facts that support the implication of constituents in clinical scenario Its ok It is not clear whether this is a review article or an original article . In any case how many numbers of sample examined need to be mentioned. Mention the specific method used to derive quantitative results in table-4,5. Test tube picture need to labelled Clinical implications of plant antioxidant, phytochemicals and implication of its constituents must be discussed in discussion part with support of references. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | It ok | |
| Optional/General comments | There has to be clinical implication of plant derived constituents with their validated method employed. | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Name: | Arvind Kumar |
| Department, University & Country | AIIMS Rishikesh, India |