### **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_115191
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Anti-virulent Potentials of Ginger (Zingiber officinale L) and Garlic (Allium sativum) on S. aureus and P. aeruginosa
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy)

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct
		the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Yes- Ginger and garlic extracts showed promising anti-virulence effects against S. aureus and P.	,
Is the manuscript important for scientific community?  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	aeruginosa, including drug-resistant strains. These findings suggest a potential avenue for developing alternative treatments to combat bacterial infections and address antimicrobial resistance.  Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	<b>Yes-</b> The manuscript requires to add reference section containing all cited references, ensuring uniformity between the manuscript and the reference section. Comprehensive corrections are needed to adhere to a specific citation format throughout.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are ggrammatical and syntactical improvements are necessary	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

# **Review Form 1.7**

# PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mahesh Singh
Department, University & Country	Teerthanker Mahaveer University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)