

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_117206
Title of the Manuscript:	A rare presentation of solitary pedunculated lipofibroma of the thigh: Case report and review of literature
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. This manuscript describes a rare condition called solitary pedunculated lipofibroma, a variant of Nevus lipomatosus cutaneous superficialis (NLCS). So, this is a good case with a rare presentation.</p> <p>Title should have been different than the one given by the author. Suggestion- Solitary pedunculated lipofibroma of the thigh- A rare case presentation with review of literature.</p> <p>The abstract should have been more comprehensive. It should start with definition/ small description of 'solitary pedunculated lipofibroma' and then it should mention about Nevus lipomatosus cutaneous superficialis (NLCS). Also, write about its tissue of origin. You can also mention approximately how many cases are published till date in the abstract. The last paragraph of the abstract should mention about how did you manage this case in one sentence. You can keep the last sentence of the abstract as it is you have written.</p> <p>The manuscript is well written. I have mentioned only a few suggestions and marked within the manuscript.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent. There are no revisions needed according to me.</p> <p>Additional suggestions are mentioned within the manuscript. The corrections can be made are marked in red. The words/ sentences which are not needed as per my belief are struck off. The instructions are marked in green.</p>	<p>I thank the reviewer for the suggestions and opinions. All the suggestions have been incorporated in the manuscript and are highlighted in yellow.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	