

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_117206
Title of the Manuscript:	A rare presentation of solitary pedunculated lipofibroma of the thigh: Case report and review of literature
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Yes, due to rarity of the condition. 2. The title is precise and suitable 3. The abstract should include a bit more information on the condition being reported. The case is Pedunculated lipofibroma, hence the opening statement in both the Abstract and Introduction should be “Pedunculated lipofibroma is a rare variant of nevus lipomatosus cutaneous superficialis [NLCS]” I believe this case is reported because of its rarity. Consequently, the literature review, case description, discussion, and conclusion must be on pedunculated lipofibroma and not NLCS. 4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. The manuscript could be scientifically improved by: i. Stating differential diagnosis, investigations, and width of the stalk in the case description section, ii. Stating in the discussion section the reason for fine needle aspiration before the excisional biopsy, and emphasizing the importance of the case to medical practice. Iii. Conclusion must include core key message from the case. 6. Most of the references are more than 15 years old. Addition of more recent ones is recommended	I thank the reviewer for the suggestions. The suggestions have been incorporated in the manuscript and is highlighted in yellow.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	