

**BPI Review Form 070520**

Book Name:	<b>New Visions in Medicine and Medical Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_27</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Internal angular dermoid indenting on the globe</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Indeed, this case report is of an important value as it provides a great review about angular dermoids: their diagnosis and treatment. I really appreciated the details provided in the case presentation, which might help young ophthalmologists in their debuting residency.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The title is good but I have a better alternative to highlight the important data gathered in the manuscript: Internal angular dermoid indenting on the globe: how to seize the best results	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract is well structured, providing essential information about the topic. But it will be better to shorten the first paragraph as it provides many data. It will be better to just mention briefly its incidence, the clinical presentation and its treatment.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English is excellent. I salute for proper grammar and vocabulary usage.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	It will be better to introduce the manuscript by recensing the most common angular lesion then by providing details about angular dermoids. Case report is excellent. But follow up data should be mentioned: how is the patient's eyesight? was there recurrence? Discussion should include ophthalmological results after surgery, recurrence rate and malignant potential. Conclusion is great.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	References used are great and up-to-date.	

**BPI Review Form 070520**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mseddi Mohamed Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>La Rabta Hospital, Tunisia</b>