

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_117415
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nitrogen and Sulphur levels on Growth and Yield Attributes of Cluster Bean (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The manuscript scientifically is good and supports the fertilizer application on guar crop in different soil characterize to increasing production and productivity.</li><li>2. The title is suitable, clearer and it reflects the content of the research</li><li>3. Abstract is comprehensive, but there are some of amend and correction was made by clear</li><li>4. All the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate accordingly to scientific write style.</li><li>5. Manuscript scientifically is correct</li><li>6. The references are sufficient and recent, but there are some of reference were missing (marked by red color)</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language of manuscript is good, clear and understandable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The research objectives are not mentioned in the introduction, and the research objectives must be stated clearly.</li><li>- Introduction is too long, I suggestion to be not more than one and half pages</li><li>- In the results and discussions, it is better to mention the treatment as T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, .... etc., no need to write the details of all treatment</li><li>- The tables are many and overlapping and require more abbreviation and clarification. It is preferable to use diagrams for further explanation and clarification.</li></ul>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaker Babiker Ahmer
Department, University & Country	Omdurman Islamic University, Sudan