

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_117415
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nitrogen and Sulphur levels on Growth and Yield Attributes of Cluster Bean (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The manuscript scientifically is good and supports the fertilizer application on guar crop in different soil characterize to increasing production and productivity.2. The title is suitable, clearer and it reflects the content of the research3. Abstract is comprehensive, but there are some of amend and correction was made by clear4. All the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate accordingly to scientific write style.5. Manuscript scientifically is correct6. The references are sufficient and recent, but there are some of reference were missing (marked by red color)	Thanks For the comments
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of manuscript is good, clear and understandable	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The research objectives are not mentioned in the introduction, and the research objectives must be stated clearly.- Introduction is too long, I suggestion to be not more than one and half pages- In the results and discussions, it is better to mention the treatment as T₉, T₈, etc., no need to write the details of all treatment- The tables are many and overlapping and require more abbreviation and clarification. It is preferable to use diagrams for further explanation and clarification.	Thanks For the comments

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	