Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_117111
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of different crop regulation methods on yield and quality of guava .
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community, and includently of guava' holds significant community, and gricultural sciences. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 1. The minuscript titled 'Assessment of different crop regulation methods on yield and quality of guava' reflectively conveys the scope and focus of the study. However, to make it more engaging and concise, an alternative title could be "Optimizing Guava Crop Yield and Quality Through Various Regulation Methods". This alternative title maintains the essence of the original while presenting a more succinct and compelling option. 3. Yes 3. Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 5. Some references cited in the text and in the reference section are not in the proper format, and there are discrepancies where references mentioned in the text are missing from the reference section. It's crucial to ensure consistency and accuracy in citing references. 1. The scientific name of guava should be italicized, and spelling of the family of guava should be corrected throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy.		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 2. The title "Assented corrected throughout the manuscript and agricultura sciences." 2. The title "Assented corrected throughout the manuscrit corrected under converse and focus of the study. However, to make it more engaging and concise, an alternative title could be "Optimizing Guava Crop Yield and Quality of quava "effectively conveys the scope and focus of the study. However, to make it more engaging and concise, an alternative title could be "Optimizing Guava Crop Yield and Quality of the original while presenting a more succinct and compelling option. 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Some references cited in the text and in the reference section are not in the proper format, and there are discrepancies where references mentioned in the text are missing from the reference section. It's crucial to ensure consistency and accuracy in citing references. 5. The scientific name of guava should be italicized, and spelling of the family of guava should be corrected throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy.	<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 2. Is the title of the article suitable? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 2. Additionally, there are spelling errors throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy.		practitioners involved in horticulture and agricultural sciences. 2) The title "Assessment of different crop regulation methods on yield and quality of guava"	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 1. It is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 2. Additionally, there are spelling errors throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy.		concise, an alternative title could be "Optimizing Guava Crop Yield and Quality Through Various Regulation Methods". This alternative title maintains the essence of the original while	
 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 6) Some references cited in the text and in the reference section are not in the proper format, and there are discrepancies where references mentioned in the text are missing from the reference section. It's crucial to ensure consistency and accuracy in citing references. Minor REVISION comments 1) The scientific name of guava should be italicized, and spelling of the family of guava should be corrected throughout the manuscript for clarity and adherence to scientific writing conventions. 2) Additionally, there are spelling errors throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy. 	3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly conventions. 2. Additionally, there are spelling errors throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy.	4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) (Minor REVISION comments Minor REVISION comments 1) The scientific name of guava should be italicized, and spelling of the family of guava should be corrected throughout the manuscript for clarity and adherence to scientific writing conventions. 2) Additionally, there are spelling errors throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy.	5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
Section. It's crucial to ensure consistency and accuracy in citing references. Minor REVISION comments Section of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Section of the article suitable for scholarly conventions. Section of the article suitable for scholarly introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy. Section of the source consistency and accuracy in citing references.		6) Some references cited in the text and in the reference section are not in the proper format, and	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly be corrected throughout the manuscript for clarity and adherence to scientific writing conventions. 2. Additionally, there are spelling errors throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy.			
 Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Additionally, there are spelling errors throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the introduction, which should be corrected for accuracy. 	Minor REVISION comments	4). The establishment of group about the italiained and enalling of the femily of group about	
Optional/General comments		be corrected throughout the manuscript for clarity and adherence to scientific writing conventions. 2) Additionally, there are spelling errors throughout the manuscript, such as "diarrhoea" in the	
	Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faizul Hasan
Department, University & Country	Delhi Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)