

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_116868
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-oxidant and wound healing potentials of Gnetum africanum Welw and Ficus vogelii Miq extracts
Type of the Article	Word

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes Title not cover the paper content Abstract not cover the aims - - -	The title has covered the journal content. The aim has now been included in the introductory part of the abstract.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Need reference , why you are use this perecentagelts depend on LD50- Methanol containing impurities, You must be use ethanol- Solvent utility not clear is it individual or mixture, also concntration of solvent (2.2)- Methods not cover all analysis and resutls (2.3- 2.4)- Most of animal experimenrt use male due to non-hormonal effect (2.5) <p>Please read comments inside article carefully</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. LD₅₀ is not needed for this study as the animals were not fed orally with the extracts. They were applied topically to the incised wounds.2. All the solvents used were distilled to ensure removal of any impurity before being used. We used solvents of varying polarities singly in a successive manner to enhance extraction of the active constituents of plants. The solvents were not mixed together3. Conventional phytochemical screening methods were employed for the secondary metabolites and the results were reported in section 3.1. The result of the antimicrobial screening is in 3.3. A paper we published has a fuller report of the antimicrobial activity of the plants.

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	