# ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJSSPN_116664
TitleoftheManuscript:	Growth, yield attributes and yield of barley under different levels of fertilizer and vermicompost grown under every furrow and alternate furrowir rigation methods (Hordeum vulgare L.)
TypeoftheArticle	

#### **PART1:**ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'scomment (ifagreedwithreviewer,correct themanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript. Itis mandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite his/herfeedbackhere)
<ol> <li>Compulsory REVISIONcomments</li> <li>1. Isthemanuscript important forscientific community?         (Please writefewsentenceson thismanuscript)</li> <li>2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable?         (Ifnotpleasesuggest analternativetitle)</li> <li>3. Isthe abstract ofthearticlecomprehensive?</li> <li>4. Aresubsectionsandstructureof themanuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you thinkthemanuscriptisscientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Arethereferences sufficientandrecent?If you have suggestionofadditionalreferences,please mentioninthe review form.</li> <li>(Apart fromabove mentioned6 points, reviewersare freetoprovide additionalsuggestions/comments)</li> </ol>	I considerthatit isconvenienttopointouttheintentionandtheeffortthattheauthorsmake inwantingtomakethemanuscriptandbringan experiencetoa researchproduct,thatis certainlyvaluableandrecognized.lthinkitwouldbeaninterestingmodeltoimproveand establishoriginality. Yourworkis interesting, writtenwell, andorganized. However, there aresomecomments should be considered before publishing, in this way, the scientific quality of the manuscript would be improved. Is uggest the authors update the bibliography, many of the citations are more than 15 years old, therefore I suggest adding recent references which address the issue in question. Suggested citations are forgenuine scientific reasons that emphasize the current topic of study in context. Authors should consider the corrections suggested by the reviewers. In this way the postulated manuscript would be reasonably presented, fluent reading.	
Minor REVISION comments  1. Islanguage/English quality of the articlesuitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

Createdby:DR Checked by:PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

## ReviewForm 1.7

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jacob Pitti
Department, University & Country	University of Panama, Panama

Createdby:DR Checked by:PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)