

ReviewForm 1.7

1.

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_116664
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, yield attributes and yield of barley under different levels of fertilizer and vermicompost grown under every furrow and alternate furrow irrigation methods (Hordeum vulgare L.)
Type of the Article	Research article

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer’scomment	Author’scomment(if agreedwithreviewer,correct themanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript.Itis mandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite his/herfeedbackhere)
Compulsory REVISIONcomments 1. Isthemanuscript important forscientific community? (Please writefewsentenceson thismanuscript) 2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggest analternativetitle) 3. Isthe abstract ofthearticlecomprehensive? 4. Aresubsectionsandstructureof themanuscript appropriate? 5. Do you thinkthemanuscriptisscientifically correct? 6. Arethereferences sufficientandrecent?If you have suggestionofadditionalreferences,please mentioninthe review form. (Apart fromabove mentioned6 points. reviewersare freetoprovide additionaluggestions/comments)	I considerthatit isconvenienttopointouttheintentionandtheeffortthattheauthorsmake inwantingtomakethemanuscriptandbringan experiencetoa researchproduct,thatiscertainlyvaluableandrecognized.Ithinkitwouldbeaninterestingmodeltoimproveand establishoriginality.Yourworkis interesting,wellwritten,andorganized.However,there aresomecommentsshouldbeconsideredbeforepublishing,inthisway,thescientific qualityofthemanuscriptwouldbeimproved.Isuggesttheauthorsupdatethebibliography, manyofthecitationsaremorethan15yearsold,thereforeI suggestaddingrecent referenceswhichaddresstheissueinquestion.Suggestedcitationsareforgenuine scientificreasonsthatemphasizethecurrenttopicofstudyincontext.Authorsshould considerthecorrectionssuggestedbythereviewers.Inthiswaythepostulatedmanuscript wouldbereasonablypresented,fluentreading.	Corrected all
Minor REVISIONcomments 1. Islanguage/Englishquality of the articlesuitableforscholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	