Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_116979
Title of the Manuscript:	DATA SECURITY FRAMEWORK FOR PROTECTING DATA IN TRANSIT AND DATA AT REST IN THE CLOUD
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

		the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	Review Result	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?		
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	The paper provides a comprehensive analysis of technical and social cybersecurity measures in the banking sector, offering valuable insights into data protection strategies.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	Through purposive sampling and rigorous data analysis, the study engages with experts,	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	yielding robust findings that contribute to understanding cybersecurity practices in banking.	
,	For Technical data protection measures, such as access controls, encryption protocols, and	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	intrusion detection systems, demonstrate the banks' commitment to safeguarding sensitive data.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The emphasis on employee training, organizational policies, and customer awareness campaigns underscores the holistic approach adopted by banks to mitigate cyber threats.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	 The findings highlight proactive measures taken by banks to educate and protect 	
, 20 you	customers, enhancing overall cybersecurity resilience.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	g a rational grant and gra	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	The paper lacks a quantitative analysis of the effectiveness of cybersecurity measures	
~1	deployed by banks, limiting the ability to assess their impact objectively.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	The study's reliance on qualitative data from a limited sample size may restrict the	
additional suggestions/comments)	generalizability of findings to broader banking contexts.	
	There is a paucity of discussion on potential challenges or limitations faced by banks in	
	implementing cybersecurity measures, which could provide a more nuanced understanding	
	of the subject.	
	The paper does not address emerging cybersecurity threats or evolving regulatory	
	frameworks, which are crucial considerations for banks in maintaining robust security	
	postures.	
	The absence of comparative analysis with cybersecurity practices in other sectors or	
	regions limits the broader contextualization of findings and insights.	
Minor REVISION comments		
 Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Ravichandran
Department, University & Country	Dr MGR Educational and Research Institute, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)