

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_116979
Title of the Manuscript:	DATA SECURITY FRAMEWORK FOR PROTECTING DATA IN TRANSIT AND DATA AT REST IN THE CLOUD
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Review Result</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ The paper provides a comprehensive analysis of technical and social cybersecurity measures in the banking sector, offering valuable insights into data protection strategies.➤ Through purposive sampling and rigorous data analysis, the study engages with experts, yielding robust findings that contribute to understanding cybersecurity practices in banking.➤ Technical data protection measures, such as access controls, encryption protocols, and intrusion detection systems, demonstrate the banks' commitment to safeguarding sensitive data.➤ The emphasis on employee training, organizational policies, and customer awareness campaigns underscores the holistic approach adopted by banks to mitigate cyber threats.➤ The findings highlight proactive measures taken by banks to educate and protect customers, enhancing overall cybersecurity resilience.➤ The paper lacks a quantitative analysis of the effectiveness of cybersecurity measures deployed by banks, limiting the ability to assess their impact objectively.➤ The study's reliance on qualitative data from a limited sample size may restrict the generalizability of findings to broader banking contexts.➤ There is a paucity of discussion on potential challenges or limitations faced by banks in implementing cybersecurity measures, which could provide a more nuanced understanding of the subject.➤ The paper does not address emerging cybersecurity threats or evolving regulatory frameworks, which are crucial considerations for banks in maintaining robust security postures.➤ The absence of comparative analysis with cybersecurity practices in other sectors or regions limits the broader contextualization of findings and insights.	<p>I wish to thank the reviewer for the inputs and suggestions. The focus of this research is not to do comparative analysis with other sectors or use quantitative approach. However, I have updated the paper to reflect on sections I agree with accordingly.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	