

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_115884</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“Estimation of Cost and Income of Onion Production: A case study of Ballia District of Eastern Uttar Pradesh”</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<b>Yes its important</b>  <b>Yes, it's suitable</b>  <b>Yes, it's comprehensive</b>  <b>Yes, it's appropriate</b>  <b>Yes, it's scientifically correct</b>  <b>No, more reference is required to support the result and conclusion</b>	Thanks  Thanks  Noted
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>No, there are lots of language issue. Quality of English is very poor.</b>	ok
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<b>Expression of the article is not up to mark, lots of mistake is there in the article and there is no supporting reference for result and discussion.</b>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	