

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_111309</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Influence of Subjective Norms and Religiosity on the Purchase Intention of Halal Products with the Mediator Variable of Consumer Attitudes</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p> <p><b>7. The study hypothesis</b></p>	<p>1. The line of argument presented is relevant to be shared with the scientific community</p> <p>2. The topic is appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract needs to be worked on since it does not discuss the major findings from the study.</p> <p>4. The subsection covers the best structures related to articles.</p> <p>5. This depends upon resolving the correction suggested</p> <p>6. The references presented are current and appropriate to the study</p> <p>7. The study hypotheses 3 and 4 are not properly presented in Figure 1. This must be addressed immediately or removed since there's no clear link and it is associated with how they were stated.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The study must stick to one standard of language either British or American, this is not clear in the article.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Afful Ekow Kelly</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Catholic University of Ghana, Ghana</b>