

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_111008
Title of the Manuscript:	Tuberculous Chylous Ascites in a HIV Infected Adolescent; Challenges of Management in a Low Resource Country.
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. It is an uncommon disease even in HIV and TB burden communities like sub-Saharan Africa, therefore, not important to the scientific community. In this manuscript, there is no direct evidence of tuberculosis in the investigations. There are substantial pieces of evidence such as caseation necrosis of cervical lymph node, military shadows in CXR, and response to FDC anti-TB. However, negative genexpert for tuberculosis and no tests for AFB smear and C&S were noted in the investigations. Confirmation of tuberculosis in the chylous ascitic fluid is required. 2. Yes. The title is quite suitable. 3. Yes. It is comprehensive 4. Yes. Appropriate. 5. Generally, correct but needs confirmation of tuberculous in the chylous ascitic fluid. 6. Yes. Sufficient.	 Noted
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Yes. Quite suitable	
Optional/General comments	 It is acceptable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	