

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111374
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of nutritional content of grafted moringa Vs rootstocks and
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. It contributes to the contents of Ca, K, Fe, Rel C:N and N in the moringa plant, it is an important contribution in Moringa leaves, it is not indicated whether the purpose is for human consumption or livestock feeding. 2. It is appropriate, but the scientific name of moringa must be indicated. 3. The abstract is not understandable, it is confusing, it must be clear, concise, concrete and correct. 4. The introductory chapter includes a review of literature, correcting. The information reported is focused on vegetables, what relationship do grafts of moringa, which is a shrub, have against vegetables? Clarify 5. In introduction, the background focused on moringa grafts should be strengthened. 6. The reference is very weak, only 4 citations are reported, two of them from 2000, one from 2003 and another from 2021. A review should be carried out to strengthen the entire document.	Noted
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It's right	
Optional/General comments	no comment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	