

### Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_111493</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Estimation of Ground Water Recharge in the Command Area of Krishna Central Delta</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The intended manuscript is important for the scientific community because of Recharge to the ground water reservoir. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. No, the abstract is not comprehensive. 4.Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The material and method is sound, and the results are presented in a clear and concise manner. 6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Redo the abstract logically and sequentially: add a sentence that explains the importance of the type of study performed.  A good abstract of scientific work consists of (in the following order):  - a sentence describing the importance of this type of study; - a sentence describing the objective(s) of the study; - one or two sentences describing material and methods; - one or two sentences describing the results and discussion and, finally, - a sentence describing the conclusion.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mohammad Ali Hagh Shenaz
Department, University & Country	Razi University, Iran