

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111312
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF NANO-DAP ON GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF CABBAGE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>-Yes, the manuscript is very important for scientific community because the nanotechnology has demonstrated the ability to support sustainable agriculture through the manufacturing of fertilizer that is both effective and advantageous. By raising productivity, improving the nutritional value of food as well as preserving the nutrition equilibrium of the farmland. It is projected that this cutting-edge technology will increase the revenue generated by farms.</p> <p>- Yes, the title of this article is suitable.</p> <p>-Yes, the abstract of this article comprehensive to some extent.</p> <p>-Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate to some extent after modification.</p> <p>-Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct after modification of some words which it writes by red colour.</p> <p>- Yes, the references are sufficient and recent but must you adding it's in the interior Manuscript and repair some words which write by red colour. There are Many authors find in interior Manuscript which wrote by blue colour but it did not in the references can you check it.</p> <p>No, Thanks, these comments are enough.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language/English quality of the article is very good and suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The article idea is very good but some words need to repair it. So, it was repaired it which write by red line.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Fathy Zaki Soliman
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt