

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_110731
Title of the Manuscript:	Liquid-based cytology profile of street sex workers in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<div>1.The manuscript “Liquid-based cytology profile of street sex workers in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria” will be important for scientific community. The issue of sexual health of sex workers is generally not studied sufficiently. And this question is relevant, taking into the account the high prevalence of HPV infection and HIV infection as well. And also taking into account the essential role of HPV in the occurrence of cervical cancer in the world.</div> <div>2. The title of the article is suitable. I shall not propose any other one.</div> <div>3. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</div> <div>4.I think, that the manuscript is scientifically correct. I also have some questions to the authors. Is sex work legal in Benin? What was the text of the questionnaire for the participants? Have they also been tested with express tests for HIV, hepatitis B and C and syphilis? Were any of the participants also diagnosed the diagnosis of gonorrhea, chlamydia and trichomoniasis? What factors do the authors explain the low prevalence of HPV in the participants of this study?</div> <div>5.The references are sufficient and recent.</div>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<div>1. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</div>	
Optional/General comments	<div>The article “Liquid-based cytology profile of street sex workers in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria” may be published in the “International STD Research & Reviews” after taking into the account the recommendations of the reviewer. I wish the authors success in their research and clinical work.</div>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lesia Ostapiuk
Department, University & Country	Pulmonary Health Center, Ukraine