

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_110546
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Exploration of Outcome-Based Education Principles and practices
Type of the Article	Educational article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-Yes, this manuscript is very important to the scientific community and is consistent with modern trends in learning, for example: Higher degrees of problem solving success would be achieved by utilizing sophisticated cognitive and met cognitive processes</p> <p>2-It is necessary to add the location where this study was conducted (A field study in a faculty teacher training and education faculty) (name of the country)</p> <p>-</p> <p>3- The purpose of the study, how the study was conducted, its results and proposals must be mentioned According to the following plan: This study aimed to where the..... method was used, and the study sample consisted of (...), where the results showed the following:... The following suggestions were made:...</p> <p>4- Yes, the plan is appropriate and acceptable</p> <p>5-Yes</p> <p>6- Yes, all references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p><u>Additional comments:</u> I mentioned 9 references in the introduction, and this is scientifically unacceptable; One of the most important conditions for writing an introduction to scientific research is that the researcher lists the most important scientific quotations that he used and explains them in a simple and clear way so that the reader can understand them and know the degree of importance of the research and the ideas and information that the researcher addresses in his scientific research. The scientific researcher must take care not to mention more From one quote to one idea in the introduction as well.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Revision made</p> <p>Done and effected</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the English language is average. In academic communication, scientific terms must be stated accurately</p>	<p>Ok</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>During the stage of analysis and reading the results, we are not satisfied with describing and expressing the results only, but rather we must rely on the results of previous studies on the same topic, as well as show the researcher's suggestions, present his opinions, and highlight the results and the extent of their use in the future.</p> <p>An acceptable article, with the need to make some of the aforementioned modifications, especially in the summary and introduction, as well as in the analysis of the results</p>	<p>Noted</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	