

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109531
Title of the Manuscript:	Crisis Management Skill and Competence of Philippine NationalPolice
Type of the Article	Original research

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes .The topic is relavent and a novel area which the authors have chosen. Competence of our Police force should be assessed periodically</p> <p>Title may be modified – Include the word “perspectives “in the title as the research mainly deals with perspective of PNP regarding their skill and competence rather than actual assessment of their skill or competence</p> <p>Yes abstract is comprehensive. However a sentence or two regarding the background of the topic may be included prior to “Aims of the study “</p> <p>Yes . However each sub section begins with the sentence “This chapter deals with” which is unnecessary</p> <p>A more scientific method would have been to assess the skill and competence objectively. But since the authors have relied on subjective methods to assess the same ...the title should reflect that its was only perspectives that was sought in the study from PNP</p> <p>Yes . References may be ordered either alphabetically or numerically</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes satisfactory however proof reading may be done by the journal for grammatical errors	
Optional/General comments	Pg 2 Para 4 and 5 – Please provide references for your observations Pg 3 para 1 – Please provide references for studies already conducted in this field Pg 3 under “Statement of problem “ .-please include the findings of current literature in the crisis management skills of PNP Material & methods – first para is common knowledge , not required Please mention total how many police stations are there in Philipines and the reason why these 3 police stations were chosen out of the total . This is to give a better understanding regarding the sampling. Convenience sampling technique has been used for the study. It is enough to mention that convenieint sampling has been used and why it was used. Other theoretical information regarding convenient sampling is not required Sample size calculation has not been mentioned The data was collected using a questionnaire – was the questionnaire validated ? Data collection was using self assessment of skills on a lickert scale . This may not be an ideal tool for data collection for assessment of skill as the responses may be biased. If the authors have used any other tool for skill assessment , they may mention that otherwise this may be mentioned as a limitation of the study Ethical consideration : Was approval from Ethics Committee sought? Title of the study : Since the study focuses on perspective of Police personnel regarding their skills and competence ...it would be better if the word “perspective “ was included in the title eg “Crisis management skill and Competence – Perspectives of PNP” Please see above under General comments	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Authors have taken permission from relavent authorities as well as consent from participants and claim confidentiality of data so ethical issues are near minimal	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priya Chandran
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India