

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109531
Title of the Manuscript:	Crisis Management Skill and Competence of Philippine National Police
Type of the Article	Original research

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p><b>Yes .The topic is relavent and a novel area which the authors have chosen. Competence of our Police force should be assessed periodically</b></p> <p><b>Title may be modified – Include the word “perspectives “in the title as the research mainly deals with perspective of PNP regarding their skill and competence rather than actual assessment of their skill or competence</b></p> <p><b>Yes abstract is comprehensive. However a sentence or two regarding the background of the topic may be included prior to “Aims of the study “</b></p> <p><b>Yes . However each sub section begins with the sentence “This chapter deals with .....” which is unnecessary</b></p> <p><b>A more scientific method would have been to assess the skill and competence objectively. But since the authors have relied on subjective methods to assess the same ...the title should reflect that its was only perspectives that was sought in the study from PNP</b></p> <p><b>Yes . References may be ordered either alphabetically or numerically</b></p>	<p>Thank you.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>Yes satisfactory however proof reading may be done by the journal for grammatical errors</p>	<p>Thank you.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Pg 2 Para 4 and 5 – Please provide references for your observations  Pg 3 para 1 – Please provide refrences for studies already conducted in this field  Pg 3 under “Statement of problem “ .-please include the findings of current literature in the crisis management skills of PNP  Material &amp; methods – first para is common knowledge , not required  Please mention total how many police stations are there in Philipines and the reason why these 3 police stations were chosen out of the total . This is to give a better understanding regarding the sampling.  Convenience sampling technique has been used for the study. It is enough to mention that convenieint sampling has been used and why it was used. Other theoretical information regarding convenient sampling is not required  Sample size calculation has not been mentioned  The data was collected using a questionnaire – was the questionnaire validated ?  Data collection was using self assessment of skills on a lickert scale . This may not be an ideal tool for data collection for assessment of skill as the responses may be biased. If the authors have used any other tool for skill assessment , they may mention that otherwise this may be mentioned as a limitation of the study  Ethical consideration : Was approval from Ethics Committee sought?  Title of the study : Since the study focuses on perspective of Police personnel regarding their skills and competence ...it would be better if the word “perspective “ was included in the title eg “Crisis management skill and Competence – Perspectives of PNP”</p>	<p>Yes this paper underwent and follow all the guidelines. The questionnaire were validated by 3 experts of the said field and ethical consideration was strictly observed and followed.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>

Review Form 1.7

	Please see above under General comments	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None. Thank you so much.