

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110648
Title of the Manuscript:	The Multidimensional Tourism Carrying Capacity Model for Zanzibar
Type of the Article	Policy Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. With reference to the Zanzibar (Tanzanian) economy, the research focus is relevant. 2. The title is appropriate for the study. 3. The abstract is incomplete as it failed to reflect the major findings and associated recommendations. 4. There is need to review on the structure of the manuscript, which I feel is not appropriate in line with other related International Journals. It should at least reflect 1.0 Abstract 2.0 Introduction/Background of the study 3.0 Literature review 3.1 Theoretical Literature 3.2 Empirical Literature 4.0 Methodology. 5.0 Results and Recommendations, 5. Having a well-structured manuscript in line with the generic outline, the document proves to be scientifically significant. 6. The references are quite recent, but however, the researcher is urged to consider the most recent ones. That is those which are not more than five years from the period under study.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Generally appropriate language skills used.	
Optional/General comments	 The entire document should be properly aligned with the appropriate structure outlined above for it to scholarly relevant. The conclusion should reflect the literature reviewed. That is reference should be made to the literature reviewed.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lovemore Muchingami
Department, University & Country	BA ISAGO University, Botswana