

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_108420
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Influence of oral administration of Sida acuta on Lipid Profile and Body Weight of Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. Yes, It is crucial for researchers to provide information for further research activities.  2. Yes, It is moderately suitable.  3. Yes, It is moderately articulated.  4. Yes, it is poor and needs major modification and correction.  5. Yes, it is moderately accepted, but it needs major modification and correction particularly In the methodology and analysis sections.  6. Yes, it is sufficient but needs to be revisited by including recent empirical results.  Memo: Please look at the comments seriously so that the researcher will revisit the paper in line with the comments to maintain the credibility of the journal.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable, even if it needs a major correction.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is essential to revisit the introduction, methodology, analysis methods, and referencing section.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yenehun Taye Engida
Department, University & Country	Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia