## **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_111343
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Anti-Diabetic Potential of Ethanolic Extract of Gymnema lactiferum in Alloxan Induced Rat Model.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<ol> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?         (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable?         (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> <li>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Medicinal plants are globally valuable sources of new drugs. With the increasing demand for herbal drugs, natural health products, and secondary metabolites of medicinal plants, the use of medicinal plants is growing rapidly throughout the world. The article raises a very important topic for all humanity: the investigations of new herbal medicine source from the Earth Flora</li> <li>In my opinion, the title of the manuscript is absolutely appropriate for the submitted text and I have no alternative</li> <li>Yes, the article abstract is absolutely comprehensive</li> <li>The article structure is right for the pharmacology article in my mind</li> <li>As the scientific side, article is absolutely correct and understanding</li> <li>The references are well</li> </ol>	
Minor REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  Optional/General comments	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications  My comments are in the text:	
	- Botanical classification -Picking of plant, their vegetation period -Preparation of extract	

### PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

### **Review Form 1.7**

# Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olena Grechana
Department, University & Country	Zaporizhzhya State Medical and Pharmacy University, Ukraine

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)