

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_111033
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender and Parental Income Disparities in Secondary School Students' Hard Skills Levels: A Study in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Writing a scientific manuscript is an endeavor that challenges the best minds, yet it is very rewarding once the body of work comes to fruition. The researcher has carefully drafted a manuscript allowing to share original ideas with the community as well as with the general population.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Fine</p> <p>3,4 line paragraph can be adjusted in a main paragraph. Headings in an ABSTRACT could have been removed to make it a single paragraph.</p> <p>I have struck through a few words that are not required. A few words need to be revised like EMPHASISE, UTILISE, SYNTHESISE, RECOGNISE, and RECOMMANDATION. I am not sure whether the researcher is following AE or BE. RQs could have been added to it.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Observation has been shared in the above section. Overall, it is a good attempt by a researcher in phrasing, expressing ideas, and concluding this paper that serves its purpose based on its title.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Unaiza Khudai
Department, University & Country	UTM, Malaysia