

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_SAJ SSE_111033   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Gender and Parental Income Disparities in Secondary School Students' Hard Skills Levels: A Study in Sri Lanka |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | <p>Writing a scientific manuscript is an endeavor that challenges the best minds, yet it is very rewarding once the body of work comes to fruition. The researcher has carefully drafted a manuscript allowing to share original ideas with the community as well as with the general population.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Fine</p> <p>3,4 line paragraph can be adjusted in a main paragraph.<br/>Headings in an ABSTRACT could have been removed to make it a single paragraph.</p> <p>I have struck through a few words that are not required. A few words need to be revised like EMPHASISE, UTILISE, SYNTHESISE, RECOGNISE, and RECOMMANDATION. I am not sure whether the researcher is following AE or BE.<br/>RQs could have been added to it.</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | Yes   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | Observation has been shared in the above section. Overall, it is a good attempt by a researcher in phrasing, expressing ideas, and concluding this paper that serves its purpose based on its title.  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> |   |