

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111498
Title of the Manuscript:	Seismic Response of Stiffness Irregularity at Ground Floor with and without Shear Walls
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? NO.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes.</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? NO</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) The Abstract should be enriched with the brief details of the methodology. The problem to be addressed in this study should also be highlighted in the Abstract.</p> <p>3) The authors should also present some quantitative results in the Abstract.</p> <p>4) English proofreading is required for grammatical mistakes and typos.</p> <p>6) The authors are recommended to add latest relevant literature review on such works.</p> <p>7) What is the need for this work? Is this work helpful for practical applications? Which applications?</p> <p>8) The literature review should be improved by adding latest references and discussion.</p> <p>9) Work methodologies need more discussion.</p> <p>10) Results section should be defended using technical reasons and relevant references.</p> <p>11) More statistical parameters need to be clearly discussed.</p> <p>12) More technical discussion to the presented experimental results should be added. More parameters are required to be added for seismic behavior.</p> <p>13) There are no critical review/discussions before the Conclusions. Authors should add it.</p> <p>14) Conclusions should be refined and briefly presented (not like a lab report). More numerical results should be added.</p> <p>15) What are the limitations of the present study? Please mention them in the manuscript.</p> <p>16) The authors can add the future recommendations based on the present study.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	OK.	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Raza
Department, University & Country	UET, Pakistan