

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111498
Title of the Manuscript:	Seismic Response of Stiffness Irregularity at Ground Floor with and without Shear Walls
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? NO. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes. 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? NO 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1) The Abstract should be enriched with the brief details of the methodology. The problem to be addressed in this study should also be highlighted in the Abstract. 3) The authors should also present some quantitative results in the Abstract. 4) English proofreading is required for grammatical mistakes and typos. 6) The authors are recommended to add latest relevant literature review on such works. 7) What is the need for this work? Is this work helpful for practical applications? Which applications? 8) The literature review should be improved by adding latest references and discussion. 9) Work methodologies need more discussion. 10) Results section should be defended using technical reasons and relevant references. 11) More statistical parameters need to be clearly discussed. 12) More technical discussion to the presented experimental results should be added. More parameters are required to be added for seismic behavior. 13) There are no critical review/discussions before the Conclusions. Authors should add it. 14) Conclusions should be refined and briefly presented (not like a lab report). More numerical results should be added. 15) What are the limitations of the present study? Please mention them in the manuscript. 16) The authors can add the future recommendations based on the present study.	 1. Soft storey is issue is discussed and highlighted in the abstract. 2. Percentage of reduction in displacement is highlighted. 3. Grammatical and typo errors are corrected. 4. Latest literature review - added. 5. Need of work - added 6. Time history input function is included 7. Limitations and future Recommendations are added. 8. Discussion before conclusion is added. 9. Conclusion is briefly explained. 10. Deflected shape of structure is shown. 11. Result section is also elaborated.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	