

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_110676
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic and Environmental Implications of Wood Exploitation in the Mangrove Ecosystem in Tiko, South West Region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Studies on mangroves are important, considering their important role. The paper shows potential for publication but requires major revision.</p> <p>The title is okay.</p> <p>The abstract can be improved based on the authors' revisions.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are okay.</p> <p>I can't state with certainty because the study population was not mentioned in the paper.</p> <p>Most of the references cited were old, I suggest the use of current literature in the study.</p>	<p>Ok</p> <p>Modified</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>No. There is a need for English editing.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The study failed to mention the research design used and when the study was conducted. The study is purely descriptive. The use of other statistical analysis, e.g., correlation, is suggested. The sample respondents are small. What is the population of the study? How is the sample determined? Where are the results of the group discussions, and how were these data analyzed? What is the novelty of your research? Perhaps you can add this to your paper. Moreover, please improve the discussions of the study site and the socio-economic condition in the area for the reader to appreciate why you are studying the economic implications of mangrove harvesting.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	