

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_111350
Title of the Manuscript:	THE LEVEL OF DISASTER PREPAREDNESS IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN NAIROBI COUNTY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, it is useful for the research community those who work on disaster management plans.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>I suggest the authors to incorporate some of the numerical values from the results and discussion section in the abstract. Yes.</p> <p>Yes, Scientifically correct.</p> <p>Authors should add some more recent references.</p> <p>Conclusions seem to be generic. Conclusion section should be provided with some of the statistical data from the results and discussion section.</p> <p>At the end of the introduction section, I suggest the authors to incorporate a paragraph explaining the novelty of the study.</p> <p>In results and discussion section, In Figure 2 , 70% and 30% are mentioned but in the text different values with decimal places are mentioned. I suggest the authors to correct the values either in the text or in the Figure. Similarly in Figure 3 also.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Some numerical values of the findings incorporated in the abstract</p> <p>Noted Done</p> <p>Not in agreement since this is not summary of findings.</p> <p>Done</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes it is suitable but it can be improved.</p>	<p>No specific area highlighted</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The authors are suggested to provide the templates of the documents used in the study as Appendix. If it is possible.</p>	<p>Not Necessary</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	